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ABSTRACT

Study Objective: To investigate the security of various knot combinations in laparoscopic surgery.

Design: Prospective nonrandomized trial (Canadian Task Force classification II).

Setting: Storz Training Centre, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Intervention: Different knot combinations (n = 2000) were performed in a laparoscopic trainer. Dry or wet 2.0 polyglycolic acid or dry 2-0 poliglecaprone 25 was used. The tails were cut at 10 mm, and the loops were tested in a dynamometer. The primary endpoints were the forces at which the knot combination opened or at which the suture broke. Resulting tail lengths were measured.

Measurements and Main Results: Surprisingly, the combination of a 2-throw half knot (H2) and a symmetric 1-throw half knot (H1s) (a surgical flat knot) opened at <1 Newton (N) in 2.5% of tests and at <10 N in 5% of tests. This occasional opening at low forces persisted after 1 or 2 additional H1s knots. A sequence of an H2 or a 3-throw half knot (H3) followed by a H2, either symmetric or asymmetric (H2H2 or H3H2), resulted in 100% secure knots that never opened at forces below 30 N. Other safe combinations were H2H1s followed by 2 blocking half hitches, and a sequence of 5 half hitches with 3 blocking sequences.

Conclusion: A traditional surgical knot (H2H1s) occasionally opens with little force and thus is potentially dangerous. Safe knots are H2H2 and H3H2 combinations, a sequence of 5 half hitches with 3 blocking sequences, and H2H1s together with 2 blocking half hitches. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology (2018) ■■■■, ■■■■ © 2018 AAGL. All rights reserved.
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Suturing comprising stitching and knot tying is a basic surgical skill. The surgeon determines the knot combinations and sutures to use. Sutures can be monofilament or multifilament with varying elasticity, ease of handling, rate of resorption, security, tensile strength over time, and severity and duration of the inflammatory reaction [1].
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Knot tying used to be based on education resulting from a combination of surgical experience and knowledge of seamen, fishermen, weavers, and hangmen [2], with more than 250 different knots described. More recently, different knot combinations with different suture materials have been described, but many discrepancies in nomenclature, testing methods, and in the type of data reported persist [3]. Knot security was defined as a knot combination that does not untie or slip open [4] before the suture line breaks [1,5] or that does not slip by >3 mm [6–8]. With the introduction of tensiometers, knot security became defined as the maximum load sustained before the occurrence of knot slippage, knot...
failure, or suture failure by breaking [1,9,10]. Recently, resistance to cyclic loading besides monotonic loading has been described in orthopedic surgery [6,7,11,12]. An increasing number of knots and throws increases the security of a knot combination, but also increases the amount of foreign material and the duration of resorption. Therefore, in surgery, it is important to use a knot combination with the minimum number of knots and throws required for a sufficiently secure knot. Unfortunately, however, published data are not clear. The use of more than 4 throws does not necessarily increase security, whereas Schaaf et al [13] reported that 4 throws are the minimum number for a secure knot when using polydioxanone suture. Marturello et al [1] tested 5 different sutures (polyglactin 910, Ethilon, polyglytone 6211, Surgipro, and MonoSbf) in flat knot combinations and found that knots with 3 throws were as secure as knots with 6 throws. Schubert et al [14] found more knot failures with 6 blocking half hitches than with 6-throw flat knots using 0-0 monofilament suture. Amortegui and Restrepo [15] reported that 6-throw knots had no failures and were more secure than 4-throw knots. The security of various combinations of half hitches, half knots, and complex sliding knots [12] with different number of throws has been evaluated [5,12,16–28], but in the absence of a systematic evaluation of all possible combinations, the conclusions remain unclear. Finally, studies of knot security following hand or laparoscopic tying have examined the forces of individual knot tying, which are lower with laparoscopic tying. The emerging conclusion is that a correct knot combination is even more important during laparoscopic suturing [15,16], given the lower knot tying forces.

The exact forces required to hold tissues together in gynecologic surgery are not well documented; however, they likely are much lower than those in palatal surgery [29] and in orthopedic surgery, in which a secure knot should show resistance to forces up to 120 N in cyclic testing [12,30,31].

Laparoscopic knot tying requires proper training and education [32–37]. In 1984, Trimbos reported an overall lack of knowledge of knot tying [24], whereas in 1975, Thacker et al [21] found that only 25% of the surgeons used adequate knot combinations. The proportion of secure knots was 73% by experienced surgeons, compared with 59% by fourth-year veterinary students.

Some occasional complications after surgery may be related to unsecure knots. Although difficult to prove, this has been speculated when discussing the occasional opening of a vaginal cuff, the detachment of mesh after a promontofixation, bleeding from a uterine artery, or early intestinal anastomosis leakage. Because knot security is more important for running sutures, this may unconsciously have contributed to the discussions of whether to close the vaginal cuff [38–41] or a bowel wall with a continuous suture or interrupted stitches [22,42]. Unfortunately, rare events are difficult to investigate; indeed, the occurrence of 10 complications in 1% requires a prohibitively large number of 1000 interventions.

Consequently, we decided to systematically evaluate the security of different knot combinations. Moreover, based on observations during training sessions on knot tying, we had the impression that identical knot combinations occasionally behaved differently.

Materials and Methods

Knot Classification and Abbreviations Used

A knot can be a half knot (H) or a half hitch (S) (Fig. 1). A half knot, also called a square or flat knot, results when both ends are pulled in the same direction as the threads entering and leaving the knot. A half hitch, also called a sliding knot, occurs when the traction is made on one end of the suture only while the other active end with the knot slides freely around the passive end. The active end thus is pulled in the opposite direction as the suture entering the knot. A half knot can be formed by 1, 2, or 3 throws. A half hitch always has 1 throw. In sequences of half knots, the rotation of a knot can be the same (symmetric) or the opposite (asymmetric) as the previous knot. In a symmetric second half knot (Fig. 1A), the tails are in the same plane as those entering the first half knot. When the tails are in a perpendicular plane, the second half knot is asymmetric (Fig. 1B). When half hitches are combined, the second half hitch can be sliding (Fig. 1C) when the passive thread remains the same or blocking when the passive thread is changed (Fig. 1D). We recently
demonstrated how to perform some of these blocking sequences in a video article [43].

Sequences of half knots are referenced as “H” followed by the number of throws (1, 2, or 3), and from the second knot by the rotation compared with the previous knot, which can be symmetric (s) or asymmetric (a) (Fig. 1). For sequences of half hitches (S), the number of throws is not indicated because it is always 1. If the passive thread is the same as in the previous knot, the combination is sliding; if active and passive treads are changed, the half hitch becomes blocking, as indicated by “b”. Thus, SSSbSbSb indicates 5 half hitches, 2 sliding SS, followed by 3 blocking SbSbSb (Fig. 1E). Note that the active thread of the fourth half hitch is the same as in the first 2 half hitches.

Study Design

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the security of the various knot combinations used in surgery. Identical loops were tied around a 15-mm plastic tube using different knot combinations. These loops were subsequently mounted similarly on the hooks of a digital dynamometer (IP90-DI; Impac Comercial e Tecnologia, São Paulo, Brazil) (Supplemental Fig. S1D and E) and tested at a speed of 200 mm/minute as described by Herrmann [17]. With increasing extension, either the knot combination slipped to open or the knot blocked and caused the suture to break. Thus, the first study endpoint was the force, in Newtons (N), at which the knot slipped to open or at which the suture broke. Breaking always occurred in or close to the knot. The unit of force as measured by a dynamometer is the Newton; 1 N is the force needed to accelerate 1 kg of mass at the rate of 1 m/s², or 1 N = 1 kg·m/s². The unit of pressure is the Pascal (1 Pa = 1 N/m²), or mmHg; their relative importance in sutures and knot security is unclear. Traction by the mesh on the knot following promontofixation and traction on the stitches after closing the abdominal fascia seem to be forces (ie Newtons). In surgery, forces on the abdominal wall and intra-abdominal pressures are related according to Pascal’s law, as demonstrated by bursting pressures [44,45].

The first knot combinations that we tested were combinations of 2 knots. For half knots, we tested 2 single-throw half knots (either symmetric H1H1s or asymmetric H1H1a), a double throw followed by a single- or double-throw half knot (H2H1s, H2H1a, H2H2s, or H2H2a) or a triple-throw half knot followed by a double-throw half knot (H3H2s or H3H2a). For half hitches, we tested a sliding (SS) or blocking (SSb) second half hitch.

We next investigated the effect of adding additional knots to the 2-knot bases. These additional knots included +H1a for H1H1a; +H1s, +H1sH1s, +S, and +SSb for H2H1s; +H1a and +SSb for H2H1a; +H2a and +SSb for H2H2a; +H1s and +S for H3H2s; +H1a for H3H2a; and +S, +Sb, +SbSb, and +SbSbSb for SS. After finalizing the statistical analysis, we conducted exploratory experiments, which identified a sequence of 5 single-throw symmetric half knots and H2H1sH1sSSb as secure combinations (Fig. 2). Finally, we tested S2H1s, because a 2-throw half knot (H2) is easily transformed into a 2-throw half hitch (S2) by pulling on 1 thread only. Unfortunately, this is a frequent mistake made during endoscopic knot tying when performing the second half knot.

We did not evaluate how to increase the security of a first complex sliding/blocking knot as a Roeder, Duncan loop, Weston, or other with subsequent half hitches [30].

The suture material was dry 2-0 poliglactin 910. Findings were subsequently validated for wet 2-0 poliglactin 910 and for 2-0 poliglecaprone 25 (US Pharmacopeia size) using selected knot combinations.

At least 40 combinations of knots (tied by the same surgeon) were tested to detect knot combinations that occasionally behaved differently. Forty knot combinations was considered the minimum, given that an incidence of 5% to 10% would result in only 2 to 4 cases; using a Poisson approximation, the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) would be 0.6% to 18.0% and 2.5% to 25%, respectively. Therefore, up to 120 knot combinations were evaluated for selected knots, because a 5% to 10% incidence using a binomial distribution would result in 95% CIs of 1.8% to 10.9% and 5.2% to 16.8%, respectively [46]. A total of 2000 knot combinations were evaluated, comprising 1401 knots using dry poliglactin 910, 240 knots using wet 2-0 poliglactin 910, and 279 knots using dry 2-0 poliglecaprone (800 knots tied by Dr Romeo, 400 by Dr Rocha, 40 by Dr Fujimoto, 120 by Dr Asencingio, 160 by Dr Fernandes, 120 by Dr Zomer, and 360 by Dr Kondo). These included 80 H2H1s, 120 H2H1sH1s, 80 H2H1sH1sH1s, 80 H2H1sSb, and 81 H2H1sSSb knots. In addition, 80 SSSb and 80 SSSbSb knots were tested using poliglactin, and 120 H2H1sH1s, 80 H2H1sSb, 81 H2H1sSSb, and 80 H2H2aSSb knots were tested using poliglecaprone.

Knot Tying

All testing was done under ambient conditions at Karl Storz South America, São Paulo, Brazil. The surgeons were experts in laparoscopic surgery and laparoscopic suturing instructors. All used the Romeo gladiator rule knot tying technique [47]. All sutures were done using a second-generation laparoscopic simulator (ETX A2 EVE; Prodelphus Surgical Simulators; Olinda, Pernambuco, Brazil), simulating the abdominal cavity. An artificial tissue mimicking real organic tissue (Tontarra Medizintechnik, Wurmlingen, Germany) lined the inner surface.

The knots were standardized as follows. A 12-cm-long, 15-mm-diameter orange tube was fixed to the artificial tissue on both sides (Supplemental Fig. S1C) over 3 sutures of 18 cm, leaving one end 6 cm long and the other end 12 cm long. Following knot tying, the suture threads were cut at exactly 10 mm. This permitted measurement of slight sliding of the knot while being sufficient to prevent a limited sliding of the suture loop from resulting in opening of the suture combination. The Karl Storz full HD imaging system (an Image 1
Hub HD and a 3-chip HD camera head; Karl Storz, Tüttlingen, Germany) (Supplemental Fig. S1A) and 2 Karl Storz needle holders (Karl Storz KOH macro needle holder) (Supplemental Fig. S1B) were used. To ascertain the accurate sequence of each knot combination, the knot sequences were controlled by the expert holding the camera.

Statistics

To evaluate the quality of the knots, arbitrary classifications were assigned for suture combination opening: <1 N, between 1 and 5 N, between 6 and 10 N, between 11 and 15 N, between 16 and 30 N, and >30 N. Openings at <10 N are indicated in shades of red, because they are considered potentially clinically dangerous. Knots that never opened at <30 N are indicated in shades of green, and are considered safe. Either these knots opened at forces >30 N or the sutures broke without opening.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Differences in breaking strength were evaluated with the Student $t$ test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and differences in opening of knot combinations were evaluated using the Mantel–Haenszel $\chi^2$ test. The effect of the suture material and of wet sutures was evaluated by analysis of variance and/or logistic regression (SAS proc logistic procedure).

Results

For 2 half knots, the quality of the knot base increased with the number of throws ($p < .0001$) and with the rotation (Figs. 2 and 3). Symmetric and asymmetric sequences of half knots—H1H1s, H1H1a, H2H1s, H2H1a, H2H2s, H2H2a, H3H2s, and H3H2a—resulted in 92%, 0%, 93.2%, 17.5%, 97.5%, 100%, 100%, and 100% safe knot combinations, respectively, and in 5%, 95%, 5%, 72.2%, 0%, 0%, 0%, and
Opening and breaking of different knot combinations using dry 2-0 polyglactin 910 (PP). In the upper graph, breaking strengths of knot combinations are indicated (data are mean ± SD). Knots are grouped as a combination of 2 flat base knots (H1H1, H2H1, H2H2, and H3H2), symmetric and asymmetric (indicated in green and yellow, respectively, with a black border) and as combinations of half hitches. Each knot combination is followed by a series of additional knots to improve the knot combination. The lower graph indicates opening at <1 N, <6 N, <11 N, <16 N, <31 N, >30 N, or break.
0% dangerous knot combinations, respectively. H1H1a and H2H1a, asymmetric sequences performed much worse than symmetric knots (H1H1s and H2H1s) (p < .0001 for both); However, asymmetric H2H2a and H3H2a sequences gave similar or slightly better (NS) results than symmetric knot combinations (H2H2s and H3H2s) with 100% safe knots (Fig. 3).

The unexpected 5% potentially dangerous knots of the H2H1s combination could not be recuperated completely by adding 1 or 2 additional symmetric 1-throw half knots (Figs. 2 and 4). Although the results improved (p < .0001 for both), 3% and 2% dangerous knots, remained, respectively. Surprised by these results, we increased the number of H2H1sH1s knobs to 120 and the number of H2H1s and H2H1sH1sH1s to 80. This confirmed and validated (p < .05) the observations, because the lower 95% CIs for 120 and 280 were 2.7% and 2.8%, respectively. Adding a half hitch (S) or 2 blocking half hitches (SSb) also improved the combination (p < .0001), but 2.5% and 1.2% dangerous knots persisted, respectively. The 60% dangerous combination of H2H1a improved by adding a third asymmetric single-throw half knot, but 5% dangerous knots remained.

The already excellent symmetric or asymmetric H2H2 combination slightly improved with an additional half knot (p = .02) or 2 additional blocking half hitches (p = .0015). All H2H2 and H3H2 combinations resulted in safe knots, however. Remarkably, only the H3H2 combinations never opened; they remained obviously stable after an additional asymmetric single-throw half knot.

Two or 3 half hitches on the same thread (SS and SSS, respectively) known as sliding knots, opened at <10 N in 100% and 82.545% of knots, respectively. Surprisingly, 12.5% of these triple sliding half hitches did block. Two blocking half hitches (SSb) still opened at <10 N in 57.5%. A combination of 3 (SSSb) or 4 (SSSbSb) half hitches, 2 sliding and 1 or 2 blocking resulted in only 75% and 86% safe knots, respectively, with multifilament sutures. A combination of 5 half hitches, 2 sliding and 3 blocking (SSSbSbSb), resulted in 100% safe knots even with a monofilament sutures.

The accidentally made S2H1s with one additional half hitch knots were potentially dangerous in 2.5% of cases. The addition of 2 more blocking half hitches resulted in safe knots.

Reorganization refers to suture configuration changes that occur while a knot is tightened. Some sutures become twisted and various angles can promote stabilization or destabilization of the knot combination. This process is not yet well understood but has been reliably observed in vivo and in suture tying labs. We suspect that in addition to the knot combination, the type of suture and the tying force applied to the knot may influence reorganization and knot security. Because we do not completely understand this process but have consistently observed it, we demonstrate reorganization visually in Figure 4. These angulation forces can explain why the suture always breaks in or close to the knot (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Fig. 1F). This also shows that breaking forces are lower than the breaking strengths of the sutures. Angulation or damage also explains why different knot combinations break at different forces. However, the forces needed to break a knot are constant for each combination, as evidenced by the small standard deviation values; thus, all, even small, differences are highly significant (p < .0001). Knot reorganization (Fig. 2) and angulation explain why some combinations apparently break around 50 N to 60 N and others break around 60 N to...
80 N. Therefore, it is not surprising that combinations of half hitches have highly significantly lower breaking forces than half knot combinations. This also explains why asymmetric H2H2 and asymmetric H3H2 with a broad base have significantly higher breaking strength than symmetric combinations (Fig. 4).

As expected, knot security increases with the total number of throws (p < .0001); however, all 100% safe knot combinations require at least 4 (H2H2), but generally 5, throws.

Wet 2-0 polyglactin 910 knot combinations performed slightly worse than dry 2-0 polyglactin 910 (p = .0003), and 2-0 polyglecaprone sutures performed slightly better (p < .04) than 2-0 polyglactin 910 (Fig. 5). However, for the knot combinations tested, these small differences had no significant effect on the percentage of dangerous or safe knots.

Discussion

Our present results confirm common knowledge such as the instability of a combination of 2 asymmetric knots, either 2 single-throw half knots (H1H1a) or a double-throw + a single-throw half knot (H2H1a) (Fig. 2). They also confirm that 2 (SS) and 3 (SSS) half hitches are sliding. Some of these results are surprising and new. Combinations of 2, 3, or 4 symmetric half knots—the classical surgical knots H2H1s, H2H1sH1s, and H2H1sH1sH1s, which are widely used in surgery because they are considered stable—were found to be occasionally unsafe. Indeed, these knots opened with <1 N in 5%, 3.8%, and 2.5% of cases, respectively. The clinical significance of knot combinations that slide open between 1 N and 10 N, between 10 N and 15 N, and between 16 N and 30 N is not clear, and an investigation of the resistance required after various types of surgery is warranted. Our findings only demonstrate that these knots are not as stable as once generally thought. Additional knots obviously improve final stability. It is astonishing that even the addition of a fourth symmetric single-throw half knot did not eliminate potentially dangerous knots. Only the combination of 2 double-throw half knots (H2H2) or of a triple-throw half knot and a double-throw half knot (H3H2) proved sufficiently stable to not open at <30 N whether symmetric or asymmetric. A similar picture emerged for half hitch combinations. As expected, 3 half hitches, 2 sliding and 1 blocking, are not secure. A safe half hitch combination requires 5 half hitches, of which the last 3 are blocking.

Although not all combinations were tested in sufficient numbers for statistical significance when opening at a low percentage, the confidence limits of the “surgical knots” and the overall consistently emerging picture of 2000 knots tested allow us to conclude that the occasional dangerous knot combination is a reality. Whether this might be related to the strength of tying the individual knots is unclear. Regardless, the clinical implications require further investigation. When analyzing the total number of throws in knot combinations, a total of 5 or 6 throws seems to be the minimum for a stable knot, except for the 4 throws in the H2H2 knot (Fig. 2).

Studying the reorganization of knot combinations (Fig. 4) during traction with angulation and damage of the suture is important to understanding their behavior. It explains why a sequence of 3 sliding half hitches did not always result in a sliding knot. Inspection of the knot combination after breaking confirmed that the knots had been rearranged into a blocking sequence. Knot reorganization also explains why asymmetric knot combinations, such as H1H1a and H2H1a, were unstable. Reorganization also explains why the broad bases of asymmetric H2H2a and H3H2a knots were slightly better than symmetric combinations (Fig. 2). Reorganization also explains why knot tails became shorter before breaking. Because this occurred only at forces >30 N, it is not considered clinically important, at least not in gynecologic surgery. These findings confirm the results published by Amortegui and Restrepo [15] showing that only 70% of SSSS knots and 60% of SSSSS knots tied by laparoscopy opened. Given our scrutiny during knot tying, we suggest that the reorganization was caused by the opening forces; however, we cannot exclude the possibility that we missed the accidental rearrangement or destabilization of the first half knot into a half hitch when tying the second half knot.

These data emphasize the need for all surgeons to understand and master the correct combinations of surgical knots [19]. In addition, we should realize that some knot combinations lead more often to mistakes, and that the force of tying each individual knot is important [48]. Indeed, suturing and tying knots are essential skills in most surgical procedures for tissue apposition and hemostasis [1]. When a suture fails, the consequences may be disastrous, with possibly massive bleeding, evisceration [21], and vaginal vault dehiscence.

The extrapolation of these findings to clinical implications should be done with caution. The only 100% reliable knots that never open at <30 N are H3H2 or H2H2, symmetric or asymmetric, or 5 half hitches with 3 blocking sequences or a solid base of 2 symmetric half knots secured by 2 blocking half hitches (Fig. 2). This is especially relevant in gynecologic laparoscopic surgery, where a series of 3 half hitches with only 1 blocking sequence is frequently used [49]. The H3H2 and H2H2 combinations have the additional advantage of remaining stable regardless of whether they are symmetric or asymmetric and of not changing behavior with surgical mistakes. Moreover, secure knots have the advantage of remaining safe when the tails are cut short. We expected that our results can be generalized, because they did not vary significantly with the type of suture or with wet sutures, but this needs to be confirmed. The extrapolation to surgical practice should be done carefully.

The definition of knot security as a knot combination that does not untie or slip to open before the suture line breaks [1,5] should be updated. Many knot combinations open at forces >30 N, which we suggest is not clinically relevant. Clinical data are needed to judge the in vivo forces on, for example, the abdominal wall during laughing, coughing, straining,
Strengths when breaking (upper graph) and percentage of knots that open or break (lower graph) for different knot combinations as indicated. Knot combinations were performed with dry 2-0 polyglactin 910 (PP), dry 2-0 polyglecaprone (CP) and wet 2-0 PP. In the upper graph, the latter are indicated in green, blue, and red, respectively. In the lower graph, it is indicated whether knot combinations open at <1 N, <6 N, <11 N, <16 N, <31 N, >30 N, or break. Dry and wet PP have a black and red border, respectively.
sneezing, and physical activity. Today we only speculate that opening at <10 N might be dangerous. This also applies to the required tensile strength of a suture. Considering that the breaking forces of the 2.0 sutures used are between 60 N and 80 N, along with the assumption that a suture retains at least 50% of its initial tensile strength after 1 week, it seems logical to suggest that the actual thickness of the sutures used is based on prudence and experience [50], and that a much thinner suture might prove to be equally safe; however, for thinner sutures, angulation and lower breaking forces might become an issue. The observation of sutures that open under little or no force is especially important when considering running sutures. Finally, a 100% secure and stable knot will allow the suture tails to be cut short. Short tails, together with the use of thinner suture material, will at least reduce knot volume [49], and the duration of the inflammatory reaction needed for hydrolyzation or resorption of sutures. This is expected to reduce postoperative adhesion formation, which increases when sutures are not resorbed completely within 5 days after surgery [51].

In conclusion, the safety of the various knot combinations tested was not what we expected, with low percentages of many of the currently widely used combinations opening at random under little or no force. Only the H3H2 and H2H2 combinations or at least 5 half hitches with 3 in blocking sequences can be considered stable and always safe combinations. These findings can help guide training and surgical approach. Of course, our observations need to be extended and confirmed for other suture materials, and should be considered in future suture material development. Indeed, all suture material should be evaluated for knot combination security, given that it cannot be taken for granted that monofilament and multifilament sutures, very thin and thicker or made of various materials, will always behave similarly.
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